

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,846	07/03/2003	Dung-Chang Yeh	JLINP160	6918	
25920	25920 7590 04/15/2005			EXAMINER	
MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP 710 LAKEWAY DRIVE SUITE 200 SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			LE, DANG D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		
			DATE MAILED: 04/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/612,846	YEH ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dang D. Le	2834				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	·				
(1) <u>Dang Le</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Albert Penilla</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 11 April 2005.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference						
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: 1.						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Teshima et al.</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The applicant proposed to amend the claims and file an RCE. The examiner suggested to amend claim 1 to identify the first surface as the circumferential surface in order to at least overcome the Teshima et al. reference. However, the applicant should also need to amend the claims such that they overcome the 103(a) rejection in the last office action. The applicant proposed to send the examiner a draft amendment before filing an official response. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available must be attached. Also where an apply of the amendments that would render the claims</u>						
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
DA PRIMAR ^N	NG LE Y EXAMINER	4/11/05				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required				